IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS CENTRAL DIVISION

DOYLE E. TULLOS

PLAINTIFF

 \mathbf{v} .

No. 4:18-cv-926-DPM

BIOMET INC., et al.

DEFENDANTS

GARY SANDEN and SUSAN SANDEN

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:19-cv-162-DPM

BIOMET INC., et al.

DEFENDANTS

VALENA HONEYCUTT

PLAINTIFF

 \mathbf{v} .

No. 4:19-cv-216-DPM

JOHN CUCKLER, M.D., et al.

DEFENDANTS

CARLA HOOD

PLAINTIFF

v.

4:19-cv-670-DPM

BIOMET, INC.; BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS,

LLC; and BIOMET U.S.

RECONSTRUCTION, LLC

DEFENDANTS

MELINDA SMITH

PLAINTIFF

4:19-cv-672-DPM

v.

BIOMET, INC.; BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS, LLC; and BIOMET U.S. RECONSTRUCTION, LLC

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

- 1. Hood v. Biomet, No. 4:19-cv-670-DPM and Smith v. Biomet, No. 4:19-cv-672-DPM, are consolidated into the group for all pre-trial proceedings. All filings should be made on the *Tullos* docket, No. 4:18-cv-926-DPM.
- 2. The parties' joint motion to amend the scheduling order, *Doc.* 111, is granted as modified for good cause. The Court appreciates the parties' cooperation in discovery and understands the challenges. The proposed extensions, though, compress the motions schedule, and will not give the Court adequate time to prepare for the hearings. The Court will therefore re-set all the remaining deadlines, and the trial dates, to preserve the existing architecture. An Amended Final Scheduling Order will issue.

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

5 March 2020